

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 BILLY DRIVER, JR.,

12 Plaintiffs,

13 v.

14 TAMARAH HARBER-PICKENS, *et al.*,

15 Defendants.
16
17

No. 1:19-cv-01775-DAD-EPG

ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND
RECOMMENDATIONS AND ORDERING
PLAINTIFF TO PAY THE REQUIRED
FILING FEE IN ORDER TO PROCEED
WITH THIS ACTION

(Doc. Nos. 2, 5)

18 Plaintiff Billy Driver Jr. is a state prisoner proceeding *pro se* in this civil rights action
19 pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge
20 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

21 On January 9, 2020, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations,
22 recommending that be plaintiff be ordered to pay the required filing fee in full. (Doc. No. 2.)
23 The magistrate judge concluded that because plaintiff has accumulated at least three “strikes”
24 under the Prison Litigation Reform Act (PLRA”) and has not shown that he is in imminent danger
25 of serious physical injury, he is not eligible to proceed *in forma pauperis*.¹ (*Id.* at 2–6.) The

26
27 ¹ The court notes that plaintiff did not file an application to proceed *in forma pauperis*, but
28 instead merely alleged in conclusory fashion in his complaint that he was in imminent danger of
harm. (Doc. No. 1 at 3–4.)

1 findings and recommendations were served on plaintiff and contained notice that any objections
2 thereto were to be filed within thirty (30) days of service. (*Id.*) On February 3, 2020, plaintiff
3 filed timely objections.² (Doc. No. 3.)


4 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 304, the
5 court has conducted a *de novo* review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file,
6 including plaintiff's objections,³ the court concludes that the findings and recommendations are
7 supported by the record and proper analysis.

8 Accordingly:

- 9 1. The findings and recommendations issued on January 9, 2020 (Doc. No. 2) are
10 adopted in full; and
- 11 2. Plaintiff is ordered to pay the filing fee within forty-five (45) days of service of this
12 order or face dismissal of this case for failure to prosecute and failure to obey a court
13 order; and
- 14 3. Plaintiff's motion for a ruling (Doc. No. 5) is denied as having been rendered moot.

15 IT IS SO ORDERED.

16 Dated: **April 14, 2020**

17 
18 _____
19 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
20
21
22
23
24
25

26 ² Plaintiff filed a motion for a ruling on the pending findings and recommendations just four days
27 later, on February 7, 2020. (Doc. No. 5.)

28 ³ In his one-page filing, plaintiff objects to the findings and recommendations but presents no
arguments or facts explaining his opposition thereto. (Doc. No. 3.)